

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

JOSEPH SCOTT GRAY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS, WENDY J.
ROAL and ROBERT ROLOFF,

Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-985-JPG

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“R & R”) (Doc. 55) of Magistrate Judge Donald G. Wilkerson recommending this Court grant defendants Wendy J. Roal’s and Robert Roloff’s motion for summary judgment (Doc. 48) in which they argue plaintiff Joseph Scott Gray failed to exhaust administrative remedies.

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. The Court has discretion to conduct a new hearing and may consider the record before the magistrate judge anew or receive any further evidence deemed necessary. *Id.* “If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjected portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the R & R. The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the R & R is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the R & R (Doc. 55), **GRANTS** defendants’ motion for summary judgment (Doc. 48), and **DIRECTS** the Clerk of Court to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: February 6, 2014

s/ J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
DISTRICT JUDGE